# BAUSCH+LOMB



### FOLDABLE HYDROPHOBIC ACRYLIC UV ABSORBING POSTERIOR CHAMBER INTRAOCULAR LENS

**DEVICE DESCRIPTION** The enVista® intraocular lens (IOL) is a singlepiece ultra-violet absorbing posterior chamber intraocular lens developed to replace the natural crystalline lens in adult patients in whom the cataractous lens has been removed.

The enVista IOL has an aspheric optic and is designed to be free of spherical aberration. Clinical studies have not been conducted with the enVista IOL to assess the effect of the aspheric surface on spherical aberration, visual acuity, or contrast sensitivity.

#### PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF **ENVISTA® MODEL MX60E**

LIVISIA	MODEL MIXOUL
Lens / Haptic Material	Hydrophobic acrylic (hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA)-polyethylene glycol phenyl ether acrylate (poly(EG)PEA)-styrene copolymer, crosslinked with ethylene glycol dimethacrylate)
Material Characteristics	Index Of Refraction: 1.53 @ 35°C; Specific Gravity: 1.19 g/ml
Optic Type / Powers	Aspheric / $0.0$ to $+34.0$ Diopters ( $0.0$ to $+9.0$ in $1.0$ Diopter increments, $+10.0$ to $+30.0$ in $0.5$ Diopter increments, and $+31.0$ to $+34.0$ in $1.0$ Diopter increments)
Dimensions	Body Diameter: 6.0 mm; Overall Diameter: 12.5 mm; Haptic Angle: 0°
Spectral Transmittance	UV(364): 10% transmittance for +20.0 diopter IOL  See figure 1 with chart St value = Wavelength (nm) and Y value = % Transmittance; chart compares the transmittance curve of an enVista M/KoO Lens to a 53 Year Old Human Lens.  A = +20 Diopter enVista M/KoO Lens and B = 53 Year Old Human Lens.  MOTE: Light Transmittance values for an IOL material may vary slightly depending on the method of measurement.

the Ocular Media," Investigative Ophthalmology, 1:776-783, 1962.

# INDICATIONS

Indicated for primary implantation for the visual correction of aphakia in adult patients in whom the cataractous lens has been removed. The lens is intended for placement in the capsular bag.

Physicians considering lens implantation under any of the following circumstances should weigh the potential risk/benefit ratio:

- Recurrent severe anterior or posterior segment inflammation or uveitis. Patients in whom the intraocular lens may affect the ability to observe, diagnose, or treat
- posterior segment diseases. 3. Surgical difficulties at the time of cataract extraction, which might increase the potential for complications (e.g., persistent bleeding, significant iris damage, uncontrolled positive
- 4. A distorted eye due to previous trauma or
- developmental defect in which appropriate support of the IOL is not possible.
- 5. Circumstances that would result in damage to the endothelium during implantation.
- 6. Suspected microbial infection. 7. Children under the age of 2 years are not
- suitable candidates for intraocular lenses.
- 8. Patients in whom neither the posterior capsule nor zonules are intact enough to provide

# RECAUTIONS

Do not attempt to resterilize the lens as this can produce undesirable side effects.

- Do not use if product sterility or quality is thought to be compromised due to damaged packaging or signs of leakage (such as the loss of saline storage solution, or the presence of salt crystallization
- Do not soak or rinse the intraocular lens with any solution other than sterile balanced salt solution or sterile normal saline 4. Do not store the lens at a temperature greater
- than 43°C (109°F) or lower than 0°C (32°F). Do not autoclave the intraocular lens.
- 5. Do not re-use the lens. It is intended for permanent implantation. If explanted, sterility and proper function cannot be assured. The safety and effectiveness of the
- enVista IÓL have not been substantiated in patients with pre-existing ocular conditions and intraoperative complications (see below) Careful preoperative evaluation and sound clinical judgment should be used by the surgeon to decide the benefit/risk ratio before mplanting a lens in a patient with one or more of these conditions. Physicians considering lens implantation in such patients should explore the use of alternative methods of aphakic correction and consider lens implantation only if alternatives are deemed unsatisfactory in

#### meeting the needs of the patient. Before Surgery Retinal conditions or predisposition to

- retinal conditions, previous history of, or pressure, or significant vitreous prolapse or a predisposition to, retinal detachment or proliferative diabetic retinopathy, in which future treatment may be compromised by implanting this lens.

  - Clinically severe corneal dystrophy (e.g., Fuchs') Rubella, congenital, traumatic or complicated cataracts
  - Extremely shallow anterior chamber, not due to
  - Recurrent anterior or posterior segment inflammation of unknown etiology, or any disease producing an inflammatory reaction in
  - the eye (e.g. iritis or uveitis). Aniridia
  - Iris neovascularization
  - · Glaucoma (uncontrolled or controlled with medication)
  - Microphthalmos or macrophthalmos

  - Optic nerve atrophy
  - Previous corneal transplant
  - Pre-existing ocular conditions which may negatively impact stability of the implant.

# **During Surgery**

- · Mechanical or surgical manipulation required to enlarge the pupil
- Vitreous loss (significant)
- Anterior chamber bleeding (significant) Uncontrollable positive intraocular pressure Complications in which the IOL stability could be compromised
- . Patients with preoperative problems such as corneal endothelial disease, abnormal cornea, macular degeneration, retinal degeneration, glaucoma, and chronic drug miosis may not achieve the visual acuity of patients without such problems. The physician must determine the benefits to be derived from lens
- implantation when such conditions exist. 8. A high level of surgical skill is required for intraocular lens implantation. The surgeon should have observed and/or assisted in numerous implantations and successfully

completed one or more courses on intraocular

- lens implantation before attempting to implant intraocular lenses. O. As with any surgical procedure, there is risk involved. Potential complications accompanying cataract or implant surgery may include, but are not limited to the following: corneal endothelial damage, infection (endophthalmitis), retinal detachment, vitritis, cystoid macular edema, corneal edema, pupillary block, cyclitic membrane, iris prolapse, hypopyon, transient or persistent glaucoma, and secondary surgical intervention. becondary surgical interventions include, but are not limited to: lens repositioning, lens
- for pupillary block, wound leak repair, and etinal detachment repair. 10. Care should be taken to remove viscoelastic from the eye at the close of surgery.

eplacement, vitreous aspiration or iridectomy

# **CALCULATION OF LENS POWER**

The recommended A-constant listed on the lens carton is intended for use with axial length measurements obtained by optical biometry. Use of axial length measurements by other techniques (e.g. Applanation A-scan) will normally require a different lens constant. This number is a guideline only and is based on an evaluation of clinical data obtained using the IOL Master.

The physician should determine preoperatively the power of the lens to be implanted. Lens power calculation methods are described in the following · Hoffer K J. The Hoffer Q formula: a comparison of theoretic and regression formulas, Journal of

- Cataract and Refractive Surgery Vol. 19, pp. 700-712, 1993; ERRATA, Vol. 20, pp. 677, 1994. Holladay JT, Musgrove KH, Prager TC, Lewis JW, Chandler TY, Ruiz RS. A three-part system for refining intraocular lens power calculations. Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery, Vol. 14, pp. 17-24, 1988.
- Norrby NES, Unfortunate Discrepancies, Letter to the Editor and Reply by Holladay JT. Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery, Vol. 24, pp. 433-434, 1998, · Olsen T, Olesen H, Thim K, and Corydon L Prediction of pseudophakic anterior chamber depth with the newer IOL calculation formulas.
- Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery, Vol. 18, pp. 280-285, 1992. Retzlaff JA, Sanders DR, Kraff MC. Development of the SRK/T intraocular lens implant power calculation formula. Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery, Vol. 16, pp. 333-340, 1990; ERRATA, Vol. 16, pp. 528, 1990.
- Haigis W: The Haigis Formula. In: Intraocular lens power calculations. H. John Shammas (eds), Slack Incorporated, Thorofare, NJ, USA, pp. 39-57, 2004.

# **DIRECTIONS FOR USE**

- . Prior to implanting, examine the lens package for type, power, and proper configuration. Open the peel pouch and remove the vial in a
- Remove the lid from the vial.
- With a pair of smooth forceps, remove the lens from the vial by gently grasping the lens haptic. 1 Rinse the entire lens with sterile balanced salt solution or sterile normal saline.
- Examine the lens thoroughly to ensure particles Acrylic Intraocular Lens, Model MX60, began have not become attached to it, and examine the lens optical surfaces for other defects.
- The lens may be soaked in sterile balanced salt solution until ready for implantation. Amvisc®, Amvisc® Plus, or OcuCoat® viscoelastic should be used for lubrication of the delivery system when inserting the lens.
- . Bausch + Lomb recommends using a Bausch + Lomb approved delivery system, which includes, but is not limited to the Medicel BCVA results for best case subjects (those without ACCUJECT 2.2-1P, the Medicel ACCUJECT 2.6-1P, clinically significant preoperative pathologies or other injector sets that specifically identify the enVista MX60 lens in their cleared labeling. Please refer to the Directions For Use of the insertion instrument for additional information.
- 10. There are various surgical procedures that can be utilized, and the surgeon should select a procedure that is appropriate for the patient. Surgeons should verify that appropriate instrumentation is available prior to surgery.

# **OVERVIEW OF CLINICAL STUDIES**

Clinical studies have been conducted on the enVista single-piece IOL (model MX60) and the parent xact X-60 three-piece IOL (model X-60)\*. The esults of these studies are described herein.

\* The AVS xact X-60 Intraocular Lens is not licensed for sale in Canada.

# Summary of Clinical Study for enVista

A clinical study of the enVista Hydrophobic in the United States on October 19, 2010. This prospective, single arm, open label study included a total of 122 subjects (122 eyes) at 6 clinical sites. Postoperatively, subjects underwent complete ophthalmic evaluations at regularly scheduled intervals through Form 4 (Postoperative Days

Table 1 displays demographic information of subjects enrolled in the clinical trial. Table 2 displays or macular degeneration at any time during the study) for 3 visits. At the Form 4 visit, 118 subjects (100%) achieved BCVA of 20/40 or better, which exceeds the FDA grid of 96.7%.

The key safety outcomes for this study are presented in Table 3. The rates of FDA defined potentially sight-threatening adverse events that occurred in the clinical trial at Form 4 were found to be less than the "FDA Grid" of Historical Controls Two cumulative adverse events (2/122: 1.6%) of cystoid macular edema were reported through the Form 4 visit. One persistent adverse event (1/121; 0.8%) of cystoid macular edema was reported at the Form 4 visit. No serious ocular adverse events occurred during this study. One serious non-ocular adverse event of advanced leukemia with an outcome of death was reported during this study. The adverse event was determined by the study investigator to be unrelated to the investigational device,

Model MX60 IOL. The results of clinical investigations provide reasonable assurance that the Model MX60 IOL is safe and effective for the visual correction of aphakia following cataract extraction.

# **CLINICAL TABLES**

#### TABLE 1: **SUBJECT DEMOGRAPHICS**

n	96	Ĭ	
122	100.0		
		S	
53	43.44	] c	
69	56.56	(1	
		,	
1	0.82	] <u>c</u>	
119	97.54	t	
2	1.64	] `	
		2	
13	10.66	_	
50	40.98		
54	44.26	,	
5	4.10	j <i>F</i>	
69.	69.0 (8.0)		
4	6, 93	] _	
	122 53 69 1 119 2 13 50 54 5	122 100.0  53 43.44 69 56.56  1 0.82 119 97.54 2 1.64  13 10.66 50 40.98 54 44.26 5 4.10	

#### TABLE 2: BEST CORRECTED VISUAL ACUITY BY POSTOPERATIVE VISIT (BEST CASE ANALYSIS SET)

Manual Annibus	Form 2		Form 3		Form 4	
Visual Acuity	n	%	n	%	n	%
r better	82	69.5	93	78.2	99	83.9
r better	108	91.5	113	95.0	110	93.2
r better	117	99.2	117	98.3	117	99.2
r better	118	100	118	99.2	118	100
95% CI for 20/40 or better¹	96.9%, 100%		95.4%, 100%		96.9%, 100%	
ial Test p-value for comparing % of 20/40 ter, using FDA recommended p=0.967			1.0	000		
0 20/63 <sup>2</sup>	0	0.0	1	0.8	0	0.0
20/100	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
to 20/200	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
han 20/200	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	118		119		118	
ing⁴	1		0		1	
5	119		119		119	

TABLE 3: ISO DEFINED CUMULATIVE AND PERSISTENT **ADVERSE EVENTS THROUGH FORM 4** 

ITTH VISIT.

Initial Visit. In imber of a visit best case subjects who missed neduled visit but were seen later, and with VA measurement for the correspondioup (% = (n ÷ N) x 100%).

Initial Visit best of subjects with missing VA measurement for the corresponding group.

(SAFETY ANALYSIS SET)

Adverse Event	n/N <sup>6</sup>	%	ISO Grid (%)	90% Cl7	p-value <sup>8</sup>
ulative Safety Events <sup>9</sup>					
phthalmitis	0/122	0.0	0.1	0.00, 2.43	1.0000
ema	0/122	0.0	2.2	0.00, 2.43	1.0000
pyon	0/122	0.0	0.3	0.00, 2.43	1.0000
islocation	0/122	0.0	0.1	0.00, 2.43	1.0000
id Macular Edema	2/122	1.6	3.0	0.29, 5.07	0.8839
lary Block	0/122	0.0	0.1	0.00, 2.43	1.0000
al Detachment	0/122	0.0	0.3	0.00, 2.43	1.0000
ndary Surgical Intervention	0/122	0.0	0.8	0.00, 2.43	1.0000
stent Safety Events <sup>10</sup>					
eal Edema	0/121	0.0	0.3	0.00, 2.45	1.0000
	0/121	0.0	0.3	0.00, 2.45	1.0000
id Macular Edema	1/121	0.8	0.5	0.04, 3.86	0.4548
d IOP Requiring Treatment	0/121	0.0	0.4	0.00, 2.45	1.0000
number of eyes reported on number of implanted eye: e Form 4 examination with ent. A subject could be reg- sed on binomial distributionial test for the null hyper er ISO 11979-7:2006 (E)].	s. For pers non-miss oorted wit on.	istent e sing res h more s: Perce	vent, N: numb ponse for the than one AE.	er of eyes ret correspondin	urned for g adverse

# Other Clinical Findings

All subjects in the safety analysis set were evaluated for IOL glistenings at Form 3 and Form 4 visits. IOL glistenings were evaluated via retroillumination slit np examination utilizing a photographic grading le provided in the protocol. The grading scale nsisted of (in order of severity), "none, grade 0 ace), grade 1, 2, 3, or 4." No glistenings of any ide were reported for any subject at any visit in clinical study.

### **Summary of Clinical Study for xact** Model X-60 (Three-Piece IOL)

linical study of the xact Model X-60 IOL began the United States on May 8th, 2002 and was nducted by Advanced Vision Science. A total of 383 subjects were enrolled, and 367 subjects were available for examination at one year, 312 were available at two years, and 281 were available at Table 4 displays demographic information of

subjects enrolled in the clinical trial. Table 5 summarizes the best-corrected distance visual acuity (BCVA) results for best case subjects (those without clinically significant preoperative pathologies or macular degeneration at any time during the clinical trial). Potentially sight threatening adverse events are

listed in Table 6, along with the rate of occurrence in the clinical trial of the X-60 IOL, and are compared to the FDA Grid of Historical Controls. The number of patients included in the analysis of both cumulative and persistent adverse events in some cases was less than the number of patients who returned for examination and were available for analysis as a result of missing information in certain fields on the case report forms.

The results of clinical investigations provide reasonable assurance that the X-60 IOL is safe and effective for the visual correction of aphakia following cataract extraction. **CLINICAL TABLES** 

# **SUBJECT DEMOGRAPHICS**

	n	%
of Subjects	383	100.0
fale	152	39.7
emale	231	60.3
lack	8	2.1
aucasian	373	97.4
lispanic	2	0.5
: 60	43	11.2
0 to < 70	105	27.2
0 to < 80	177	46.2
: 80	58	15.4
Mean ± SD	71.0	9.11)
ange (Min. Max)	45.	93

# VISUAL ACUITY IN BEST CASE POPULATION

1 Year 2 Years 3 Years

Acuity	n	%	n	%	n	%	
r better	209	65.3	163	60.8	167	72.2	
r better	275	85.9	215	80.2	203	86.3	
r better	307	95.9	239	89.2	221	92.7	
r better	317	99.1	253	94.4	229	95.1	
id for % of 20/40 or better	96.	7%	N,	/A	N.	/A	
	33	20	26	58	24	42	

# TABLE 6: **CUMULATIVE AND PERSISTENT ADVERSE**

Adverse Events	11	ear	FDA Grid 1 Year	2 Years		3 Years	
ulative Safety Events sber of Eyes with Postop s = 382	n/N	%	%	n/N	%	n/N	96
phthalmitis	0/382	0.0	0.1	0/382	0.0	0/382	0.0
ema	0/382	0.0	2.2	0/382	0.0	0/382	0.0
pyon	0/382	0.0	0.3	0/382	0.0	0/382	0.0
islocation	0/382	0.0	0.1	0/382	0.0	0/382	0.0
id Macular Edema	3/376	0.8	3.0	3/377	0.8	3/377	0.8
lary Block	0/382	0.0	0.1	0/382	0.0	0/382	0.0
al Detachment	3/376	0.8	0.3	4/377	1.1	4/377	1.1
ndary Surgical Intervention	1/38211	0.3	0.8	1/382	0.3	3/38212,13	0.8
istent Safety Events	n/N	%	%	n/N	%	n/N	%
ber of Eyes Available at /isit	36	57	N/A	31	12	281	
eal Edema	0/366	0.0	0.3	0/312	0.0	0/281	0.0
	1/366	0.3	0.3	0/312	0.0	0/281	0.0
id Macular Edema	0/364	0.0	0.5	0/309	0.0	0/280	0.0
d IOP Requiring Treatment	0/366	0.0	0.4	0/312	0.0	0/281	0.0

### OTHER CLINICAL FINDINGS In the IDE clinical trial, "glistenings" were observed

in some cases. Glistenings, known to sometimes occur in some other hydrophobic acrylic IOLs, are microscopic vacuoles within the optic of the IOL that are visible through the slit lamp as multiple small refractile specks. Analysis of the clinical data confirmed no effect of glistenings on visual outcomes.

Testing established that glistenings were eliminated by a change in the IOL hydration solution from 10.0% saline to 0.9% saline. This was confirmed in an additional clinical trial conducted outside of the United States. In this study, 172 eyes of 142 patients were examined at least once between 1 and 6 months, and 123 eyes of 101 patients were examined at least once between 6 months and 2 years. No glistenings were observed

# The lens is individually packaged in a sterile vial

**HOW SUPPLIED** 

(containing a 0.9% saline solution), within a peel pouch, and should only be opened under sterile conditions. A patient card and self-adhesive labels are supplied to provide traceability of the lens. The package is sterilized by gamma irradiation.

**EXPIRATION DATE** Sterility is guaranteed unless the pouch is damaged THE PURCHASE OR USE OF THIS PRODUCT EVEN or opened. The expiration date on the lens package IF BAUSCH & LOMB INCORPORATED HAD BEEN is the sterility expiration date. This lens should not be implanted after the indicated sterility expiration

# ADVERSE EVENT REPORTING

Adverse events and/or potentially sight threateni complications that may be regarded as lens related and that were not previously expected in nature, severity or degree of incidence should be reported within five (5) days to Bausch & Lomb Incorporated. This information is being requested from all surgeons in order to document potential long-term effects of intraocular lens implantation.

Physicians are encouraged to report these events in order to aid in identifying emerging or potential problems with intraocular lenses. These problems may be related to a specific lot of lenses or may be indicative of long-term effects associated with these lenses or with IOLs in general. If you wish to report a problem, please call Bausch + Lomb at 1-800-338-2020.

### PATIENT REGISTRATION INSTRUCTIONS AND REPORTING REGISTRATION

Each patient who receives an enVista IOL must be registered with Bausch + Lomb at the time of lens implantation. Registration is accomplished by completing the Implant Registration Card that is enclosed in the lens package and mailing it to Bausch + Lomb. Patient registration is essential and will assist Bausch + Lomb in responding to adverse reaction reports and/or potentiall sight-threatening complications. An implant identification card is supplied in the lens package and must be given to the patient.

### RETURNED GOODS POLICY All lenses being returned must be accompanied by a returned goods authorization number issued by Bausch + Lomb Customer Service. Call

1-800-338-2020 for return authorization and full policy information WARRANTY Bausch & Lomb Incorporated warrants that the intraocular lens, when delivered, will conform to all applicable laws and the manufacturer's then

current version of the published specifications

for such intraocular lens in all material respects

and will be free from defects in material and

Do Not Resterilize Meets EU Body Diameter Packaging (Optic Diameter) Directive Do Not Use Overall Diameter if Package is (Overall Length) SN | Serial Number **■** Manufacturer Do Not Re-use illi elFU Indicator Use-By Date

**BAUSCH & LOMB INCORPORATED DISCLAIMS ALL** 

OPERATION OF LAW, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED

TO, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY

BAUSCH & LOMB INCORPORATED SHALL NOT BE

LIABLE FOR ANY INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL,

INDIRECT OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES OF ANY

KIND, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY ARISING FROM

ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH LOSS,

Symbol Description Symbol Description

Sterilized Using

Irradiation

Only (ÚSA)

Caution

**SYMBOLS USED ON LABELING** 

10L Intraocular Lens STERILE R

DAMAGE OR EXPENSE.

Chamber

Posterior

PCL Chamber Lens

UV Ultraviolet

OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESS, IMPLIED OR BY

OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.



Bausch & Lomb Incorporated 1400 North Goodman Street Rochester, NY 14609 USA

Bausch & Lomb Incorporated 21 Park Place Blvd. North, Clearwater, FL 33759 USA U.S. Patent Numbers: 6,281,319 and 6,635,731 ®/™ are trademarks of Bausch & Lomb Incorporated

or its affiliates. All other brand/product names and/or logos are trademarks of the respective owners. © Bausch & Lomb Incorporated



Rev. 2018-03











DESCRIPTION: enVista MX60E\_DFU\_U.S.\_Clearwater

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: n/a

PRINT SUPPLIERS: Please refer to Valeant's Print Supplier Guidelines

BLACK